

Figure 1. A view of the $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{dppm})_{3}\right]^{+}$cation. Selected bond lengths are as follows: $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1), 2.25$ (1); $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(6), 2.28$ (1); $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2), 2.27$ (1); $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3), 2.24$ (1); $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}, 2.41$ (1); $\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{P}-$ (4), 2.33 (1); $\operatorname{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{P}(5) 2.25$ (1) $\AA$. For clarity only the ipso carbon atoms of phenyl rings $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}$ are displayed, and only one of the two orientations of disordered ring $J$ is shown. Probability ellipsoids (50\%) are shown.
unique in being supported by only one $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ bond. The $\mathrm{C}(4)-$ $\mathrm{C}(5)$ bond length [1.40 (4) $\AA$ ] is normal], ${ }^{6}$ and the $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}$ (4) $-\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)$ angles [119 (2) and 112 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ ] deviate only slightly from $120^{\circ}$ despite the opening of the Pt (2) $-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)$ torsion angle to $-30(1)^{\circ}$. The angle between the $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(3)$ vectors is $17^{\circ}$. The presence of the unsymmetrical $\mu_{3}-\left(\eta^{2}-\|\right)$ alkyne in 2,4 , and 5 was confirmed by multinuclear NMR studies. For example, 2 gave six ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances and three ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ resonances, 2* (prepared from $\mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{CH}$ ) gave two ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances each of which was a doublet due to ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{CH})$ coupling in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ coupled spectrum, and $2^{* *}$ (prepared from DCCD) gave two ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ resonances. ${ }^{12}$

The complexes 3 and 6 contain the $\mu_{3}-\left(\eta^{2}-\perp\right)$ alkyne as shown by NMR analysis. ${ }^{13}$ At low temperature, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ each give three ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and two ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ resonances, showing the presence of a plane of symmetry perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ plane. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $3^{*}$ (prepared from $\mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{CH}$ ) and the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $3^{* *}$ (prepared from DCCD) each contained two resonances, thus ruling out a $\mu_{3}-\left(\eta^{2}-\|\right)$ structure which would contain only one resonance in each case. The NMR data establish the presence of $\mathrm{Pt}^{1} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}$ bonds, but no evidence is present for $\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}$ bonding. ${ }^{13}$ The complexes 3 and 6a are fluxional at room temperature, indicating that rotation of the alkyne with respect to the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ triangle can occur $\left(3 \rightleftharpoons 3^{\prime} \rightleftharpoons\right.$ $3^{\prime \prime}$, Scheme I). It is particularly interesting that the bonding mode depends on the electron count of the cluster; there are precedents for the $\mu_{3}-\left(\eta^{2}-\perp\right)$ mode being favored in electron-poor clusters, ${ }^{14}$

[^0]but we known of no precedent for the easy interconversion with the $\mu_{3}-\left(\eta^{2}-\|\right)$ mode shown in Scheme I.

There are several interesting analogies with surface chemistry. First, it is possible to arrange reagents in order of affinity for the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ unit, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}>\mathrm{HCCH}>\mathrm{CO}$. Thus acetylene will displace CO $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ will displace both CO from 1 or acetylene from 3 to give $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)(\mu \text {-dppm })_{3}\right]^{+}, 7,{ }^{15}$ but the reverse reactions do not occur. The same series applies to the $\mathrm{Pt}(111)$ surface. ${ }^{2}$ One acetylene can displace another reversibly, as in the reaction of excess propyne with 3 to give 6 a.

Next, coordination of acetylene leads to cleavage of $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bonds, with there being three such bonds in the $42 e$ complex $1,{ }^{5}$ probably two in the 44 e complex 3; and only one $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond in the 46 e complexes 2 and $4{ }^{16}$ This is an extreme analogy for the weakening of $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bonding predicted when acetylene binds to the $\mathrm{Pt}(111)$ surface. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{c}}$ In these model compounds, the alkyne can bind in a $\mu_{3}-\left(\eta^{2}-\|\right)$ or $\mu_{3}-\left(\eta^{2}-\perp\right)$ bonding mode, whereas the $\mu_{3}-\left(\eta^{2}-\|\right)$ mode is favored on the $\operatorname{Pt}(111)$ surface. ${ }^{2,3}$
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(16) We consider that acetylene oxidatively adds to the cluster 1 (i.e., we consider it as $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ ). Under these conditions, $\mathrm{Pt}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}^{2}$ are platinum( I ) while $\mathrm{Pt}^{3}$ is square planar platinum(II), though $\mathrm{Pt}^{3}$ in 4 is tetrahedrally distorted $\left[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{P}, 159(1)^{\circ} ; \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pt}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}, 165(1)^{\circ}\right]$. The complex 7 and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}(\mathrm{CN})\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)(\mu \text {-dppm })_{3}\right]^{+}$can be considered similarly ( $4 \mathrm{e} S$ versus 4 e HCCH ligand) but have higher symmetry. However, coordination of Lewis bases does not disrupt $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bonds, for example, in the 46 e cluster $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{3}(\mu-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CO})\left(\mu-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PMe}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}$. Ferguson, G.; Lloyd, B. R.; Manojlovic̄-Muir, Lj.; Muir, K. W.; Puddephatt, R. J. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4190-4197. Ling, S. S. M.; Hadj-Bagheri, N.; Manojloviē-Muir, Lj.; Muir, K. W.; Puddephatt, R. J. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 231-235.

## Interconversion of Bicyclooctadienes and Cyclooctatrienes Formed by Intramolecular Photocycloaddition of Phenyl Ketones Containing Remote Double Bonds
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We recently reported that $o$ - and $p$-alkenoxyphenyl ketones undergo a rare ${ }^{1}$ intramolecular $2+2$ ortho photocycloaddition of the remote double bond to the benzene ring to yield bicycloöctadienes that rearrange thermally to cycloöctatrienes. ${ }^{2}$ We now find that the major stable photoproduct from these reactions is a bicyclo[4,2,0]octa-2,7-diene, which is a secondary photoproduct of the initial $2+2$ adduct, a bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-diene. Both bicycloöctadienes rearrange thermally to cycloöctatrienes, the stability of which depends on substitution.

Chart I illustrates the compounds that we have studied. Irradiation ( 313 or 365 nm ) of any of the compounds (except $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~d}$ ) in a variety of solvents (benzene, acetonitrile, methanol)

[^1]
followed by GC separation (columns $\geq 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) always provides yellow cycloöctatrienes. ${ }^{3}$ The GC chemical yields of the products range from $70 \%$ to $100 \%{ }^{4}$ Although all of the ketone solutions turned yellow during initial stages of irradiation, they became colorless after complete photoconversion of reactant, as Figure 1 shows for $o-A P_{2} \mathrm{~b}$. The transient intermediate formed within 1 min of irradiation has a $\lambda_{\max }$ at 370 nm corresponding to that of the isolated cycloöctatriene. NMR spectra of completely reacted $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ solutions indicate quantitative conversion of every acetophenone including $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~d}$ to a bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,7-diene. For $\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~b}$, these are the only products detectable by FT-NMR even at low and modest conversions. Several of these products have been isolated. ${ }^{5}$ They are all distinguished in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra by an AB quartet around $\delta 6$ with $J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for the two cyclobutene vinyl protons. These vinyl protons show a coupling of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ with any adjoining bridgehead protons. Heating these cyclobutenes (except that from $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~d}$ ) for short periods at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ converts them quantitatively to the same cycloöctatrienes obtained by preparative GC separation of the original irradiated solutions. Irradiation for 30 min at either 313 or 365 nm of solutions $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ in the cycloöctatriene from $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~b}$ caused complete conversion to the bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,7-diene photoproduct.

The kinetics performed on the para substituted ketones ${ }^{2}$ demands two-step, revertible addition of the double bond to the para carbon of the benzene ring. Prompt closure of the original $1,4-$ biradicals would give formal suprafacial $2+2$ cycloadducts with a cyclohexadiene subunit, such as have been isolated from direct photoaddition of alkenes to benzonitriles and to naphthonitriles. ${ }^{67}$ The only acetophenone that yields an isolable cyclohexadiene is $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~d}$, which gives different products than do the other compounds in Chart I. The progress of its photoreaction was followed both by UV and by FT-NMR. The only photoproduct detected by NMR at $20 \%$ conversion is the bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-diene 5. ${ }^{8}$ Upon extended irradiation, however, mixtures of 6 and 5 are formed until only 6 survives. Control experiments indicated that no thermal rearrangement of 5 to 6 occurs. Obviously the

[^2]
first-formed cyclohexadiene is converted to the cyclobutene in a secondary photoreaction. UV analysis of reaction progress indicated early formation and eventual disappearance of an intermediate that absorbs weakly at 350 nm , which we presume is 7 . Heating the isolated $6^{5}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min produced a small amount of 5; heating at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min produced a mixture of $\mathbf{5}$ and some $\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~d}$. Thus $\mathbf{6}$ does not rearrange thermally to a stable cycloöctatriene but to products derived from one.

We conclude that all the ketones form bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4dienes initially. These normally are not detected because they undergo rapid thermal disrotatory opening to all-cis cycloöctatrienes, ${ }^{9}$ which have large extinction coefficients and absorb a second photon to undergo electrocyclic closure ${ }^{10}$ to the photostable bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,7-dienes. ${ }^{11}$ Tinis general mechanism is shown in Scheme I. The different product distribution from $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~d}$ reflects a change in the cyclohexadienetriene interconversion. There is ample precedent for gem-dimethyl substitution altering the thermal equilibrium between bicycloöctadienes and cycloöctatrienes in favor of the former. ${ }^{12}$ As $\mathbf{7}$ is formed from 5 , the unfavorable equilibrium is offset by the irreversible photoisomerization of 7 to 6 . Upon being heated, 6 opens to 7 , which rearranges immediately to the thermodynamically perferred 5 , which is unstable thermally with respect to the starting aromatic system. The most unusual aspect of the overall mechanism, apart from the initial triplet cycloaddition itself, is the rapidity of the bicycloöctadiene-to-cycloöctatriene isomerization.
The overall quantum yield for isolated cycloöctatrienes is in the $25-30 \%$ range for $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2}$ a and $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~b}$, despite two photoreactions being required. Therefore both photoconversions must have high quantum efficiencies. It is noteworthy that the cycloöctatriene and the bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,7-diene are interconverted by irradiation of the former and heating of the latter. We presume that the NMR spectra would reveal the presence of different stereoisomers of either compound. If the photoreaction obeys orbital-symmetry rules, the all-cis cycloöctatriene would undergo disrotatory closure to yield a cis-fused cyclobutene. NOE experiments on the cyclobutene from $o-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~b}$ do suggest a cis ring fusion, since there is enhancement of the vinyl proton at $\mathrm{C}-11$ but not of the bridgehead proton at $\mathrm{C}-9$ when the methyl $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ group at $\mathrm{C}-3$ is irradiated. Therefore we tentatively conclude that the thermal opening of the cyclobutene is not a concerted electrocyclic reaction and probably results from the weakened character of the middle $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond caused by donor-acceptor conjugation. ${ }^{13}$ The

[^3]

Figure 1. UV spectrum of $0.00024 \mathrm{Mo} o \mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~b}$ in benzene as a function of time of $313-\mathrm{nm}$ irradiation.

## Chart I



Scheme I


same bond weakening presumably promotes the unusually rapid bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-diene to cycloöctatriene rearrangement.


In summary, intramolecular $2+2$ cycloaddition of double bonds to the benzene ring of $\pi, \pi^{*}$ triplet ketones leads in good chemical yield to bicyclo[4.2.0]octadiene and cycloöctatriene structures that can be interconverted cleanly. The carbonyl that facilitates the reaction by causing efficient intersystem crossing of the $n, \pi^{*}$ singlet remains for further synthetic elaboration, such that the overall process appears to have considerable potential. In fact, we are now looking at the bimolecular counterpart and observe that the analogous cycloaddition indeed occurs. ${ }^{14}$ We shall report on the

[^4]regioselectivity as soon as a general pattern emerges.
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## A "Clustered Cluster" with a "Pinwheel" Ligand Array. Synthesis and Structure of a Trinuclear Cupracarborane
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Recent applications of $\left[\text { nido- } 7,8-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]^{2-}(\mathbf{1})^{1}$ in synthetic metallacarborane chemistry have resulted in novel polynuclear metallacarboranes containing $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bridge bonds ( $\mathrm{M}=$ metal). Examples include $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Al}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)\right]$, ${ }^{2}$ $\left[\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)_{2}\right]^{2-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}){ }^{3}$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ (2). ${ }^{4}$ The structural diversity observed in these metallacarboranes stems from the intrinsic dualism in the electron-donor properties of 1 . As an example, the homodinuclear formal d ${ }^{10}$ copper(I) complex 2 demonstrates two diverse bonding modes: an icosahedral closo- $\mathrm{Cu}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ cage and a copper-copper interaction supported by $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bridge bonds. Reported herein are the synthesis and structural characterization of a "clustered cluster", ${ }^{3}\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~L}\right)_{3} \cdot\left(n-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$ $\left[3 \cdot\left(n-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right), \mathrm{L}=\right.$ methyl isonicotinate $]$, containing nido $-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ cages as well as $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ interactions.

The anaerobic reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with 1 molar equiv of anhydrous CuCl produced glittering metallic copper and an intense blue coloration, indicating that an undesirable redox reaction had occurred. ${ }^{5}$ In contrast to this observation, a "charge-compensated" carborane anion, [nido-9- $\left.\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-7,8-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]^{-}$(4), generated by deprotonation of the oxidative coupling ${ }^{6}$ product ${ }^{7}$ of [nido-7,8- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right]^{-}$with a Lewis base, methyl isonicotinate $\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, reacted with an equimolar amount of anhydrous CuCl in THF to give a novel tricopper "clustered cluster" 3 as outlined in eq $1-3$. A deep bluish purple solution of $\mathrm{Na} \cdot 4$

[nido-9- $\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$-7,8- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]+\mathrm{NaH} \xrightarrow{\text { THF }}$ $\mathrm{Na}\left[\right.$ nido- $\left.9-\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-7,8-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (2)
$3 \mathrm{CuCl}+3 \mathrm{Na}\left[\right.$ nido- $\left.9-\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-7,8-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \xrightarrow{\text { THF }}$
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]+3 \mathrm{NaCl}$ (3)

[^5]
[^0]:    (12) NMR data (refs $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}^{2}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{4}$ ) for $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \delta$ $11.80\left[m,{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right) 54,{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{3} \mathrm{H}\right) 66,{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) 16,{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HH}) 8, \mathrm{C}^{4} \mathrm{H}\right], 7.85$ [observed in ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $2^{* *}$, obscured in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H^{5}$ ]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ of $\mathbf{2}^{*} 201[\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{C}\right) 650,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{CH}) 152, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right], 88\left[\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 530,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{CH}) 163, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right] ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{C}$ of $\left.2\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}\right) 174\left[s,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtC}) 1000, C \mathrm{C}\right] ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-12.6\left[P^{1}\right],-6.6\left[P^{2}\right], 9.1{ }^{[ } P^{3}\right]$, $10.3\left[{ }^{4} 4\right], 12.1\left[P^{5}\right], 2.6\left[P^{6}\right] ;{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}-3300\left[m,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{6}\right)\right.$ 3350, ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}^{1}\right) 4050$, $\left.{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{1} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}\right) 2100, \mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{i}}\right],-2840\left[m,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}^{2}\right) 2400,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}^{3}\right) 3450,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{1} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}\right)\right.$ $\left.2100, J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{Pt}^{3}\right) 900,{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}^{6}\right) 600, J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{P}^{5}\right) 170, \mathrm{Pl}^{2}\right],-2920\left[m,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}^{4}\right)\right.$ 2850, ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}^{5}\right)$ 3000, $\left.\mathrm{Pt}^{3}\right]$; all PtP and PtC couplings were confirmed by recording both ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ spectra; IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO}) 2090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
    (13) NMR data for 3 in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 12.67\left[m,{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) 128\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right) 70, \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}\right] ; 7.15\left[\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right.$, obscured in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlation]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ of $3^{*} 171.1\left[\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{1} \mathrm{C}\right) 440,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{C}\right) \sim 80,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{CC}) 40,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{CH})\right.$ 187, $\left.C^{1}\right], 73.4\left[\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{C}\right) \sim 120,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{CH}) 188, C^{2}\right] ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-9.8\left[m,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)\right.$ $\left.2680,{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) 80, \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{a}}\right],-18.6\left[m,{ }^{\mathrm{i}} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{P}\right) 3750, P^{b}\right], 7.30\left[m,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{2} \mathrm{P}\right) 4240\right.$, ${ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{P} 490, \mathrm{Pc}\right] ;{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}-2278\left[\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{Pt}^{2}\right) 2010,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtC}) 440,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtP}) 2680\right.$, $\left.P_{t}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}\right],-3471\left[m,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}^{1} \mathrm{Pt}^{2} 2010,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) 3750,{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) 4240, \mathrm{Pt}^{2}\right]\right.$. NMR at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 9.91\left[\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right], 5.11\left[\mathrm{~m},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{HH}) 13,{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PtH}) 76\right.$, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ], $3.56\left[m,{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{HH}) 13, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right] ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} 122\left[\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}^{1}+\mathrm{C}^{2}\right] ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-7.3$ [br, ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{PtP}) 3580, P$ ]. An account of the fluxionality, which is intramolecular, will be given elsewhere.

[^1]:    (1) (a) Double bond: Sket, B.; Zupancic, N.; Zupan, M. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 753. (b) Triple bond: Lippke, W.; Ferree, W.; Morrison, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 2134
    (2) Wagner, P. J.; Nahm, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4404.

[^2]:    (3) e.g., 4-acetyl-11-oxabicyclo[8.5.0] undeca-1,3,5-triene from $p-\mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{2,3}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{5,6}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $5.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{6,5}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{7,6}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{3,2}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $4.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{10,10^{\prime}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{10,10^{\prime}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H},-\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}-), 2.29-2.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}-\right), 1.83-2.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}_{2}-\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 199.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; IR $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. NMR coupling constants were determined by decoupling at 250 MHz .
    (4) The cycloöctatrienes are stable for weeks in a refrigerator but decompose and polymerize in days at room temperature.
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